# Twilight for the energy conditions?

#### Matt Visser

Physics Department
Washington University
Saint Louis
USA

#### **Collaborators:**

Carlos Barceló (Wash U)

David Hochberg (LAEFF, Madrid)

Carmen Molina-París (CAB, Madrid)

Marcel Grossmann 9
Roma
July 2000

#### Abstract:

The energy conditions of general relativity permit one to deduce very powerful and general theorems.

However, the energy conditions are now realized to be a lot less secure than they once seemed:

- There are quantum effects that violate all of the energy conditions.
- There are relatively benign classical systems that violate all the energy conditions.

This opens up a Pandora's box of rather disquieting possibilities.

Is it twilight time for the energy conditions?

#### Overview:

Classical: In classical general relativity the energy conditions are used to prove lots of general theorems...

**Quantum:** Everyone who thought about it expected the energy conditions to eventually break down once one reaches the Planck slop...

**Surprise 1:** The energy conditions already fail miserably in **semi-classical quantum gravity**.

Failures occur at first order in  $\hbar$ , long before one reaches the Planck slop...

The quantum failures are widespread, albeit small...

**Surprise 2:** The energy conditions also fail miserably in quite reasonable **classical systems**.

# Energy conditions:

## Standard (pointwise) energy conditions:

TEC — trace energy condition (now abandoned).

NEC — null energy condition.

WEC — weak energy condition.

**SEC** — strong energy condition.

(aka unphysical energy condition.)

DEC — dominant energy condition.

#### Standard averaged energy conditions:

ANEC — averaged null energy condition.

AWEC — averaged weak energy condition.

ASEC — averaged strong energy condition.

#### Standard propaganda:

Physically reasonable Lagrangians give classical theories satisfying the energy conditions...

The standard propaganda is wrong.

## **Definition**:

In a Lorentzian spacetime:

The null energy condition [NEC] is said to hold at a point x, if for all null vectors k

$$T_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu}k^{\nu} \geq 0.$$

NEC Is the weakest pointwise energy condition in common use.

#### Notes:

 $DEC \Rightarrow WEC \Rightarrow NEC.$ 

 $SEC \Rightarrow NEC.$ 

SEC does *not* imply WEC — — the terminology is misleading.

## Uses of the Energy Conditions:

- Penrose singularity theorem (WEC).
- Hawking—Penrose singularity theorem (SEC).
   [Relevant to the cosmological singularity.]
- Tipler's version of the Hawking-Penrose singularity theorem (WEC+ASEC).
- Schoen-Yau positive mass theorem (DEC).
- Witten's variant positive mass theorem (DEC).

Hypotheses are all stronger than the NEC.

## Uses of the ANEC:

ANEC is the weakest averaged energy condition in common use.

The ANEC is used as input hypotheses in proving:

- Focussing theorems for null geodesics.
   [Borde]
- Generalized Penrose singularity theorem.
   [Roman]
- Topological censorship theorem.
   [Friedman-Schleich-Witt]
- Generalized positive mass theorem.
   [Penrose-Sorkin-Woolgar]

## Quantum violations of the energy conditions:

- 2-particle Fock states. (NEC+)
- Casimir vacuum. (NEC+)
   [DeWitt, Einstein Centenary Survey]
- Hawking radiation. (NEC+)
- Squeezed vacuum. (WEC+DEC) [Morris-Thorne]
- Conformal anomaly (NEC+)
   [Visser, PLB 349 (1995) 443-447;
   gr-qc/9409043].
- Gravitational vacuum polarization (NEC+)
   [Visser, gr-qc/9604007; 9604008; 9604009; 9703001].
- Cosmological particle production. (SEC)

## Classical violations of the energy conditions:

#### "Observational"

- Cosmological inflation. (SEC)
   [Minimally coupled massive scalar]
- Cosmological inflation. (NEC+)
   [Conformally coupled massive scalar]
- Galaxy formation: 0 < z < 10. (SEC) [Visser, Science 276 (4 April 1997) 88]
- Accelerating universe. (SEC)

## Classical violations of the energy conditions:

"Theoretical"

- Tolman wormholes (SEC)
   [Hochberg, Molina-París, Visser]
- Massless conformally coupled scalar (ANEC+)
   QFT: new improved energy-momentum
   [Barceló-Visser, gr-qc/9908029, PLB]
   [Barceló-Visser, gr-qc/0001099, Cosmo99]
- Non-minimally coupled scalar (ANEC+)
  massive/massless
  [Wald-Flanagan, gr-qc/9602052, PRD]
  [Barceló-Visser, gr-qc/0003025, CQG]
- String moduli fields (ANEC+)
   [Barceló-Visser, gr-qc/0001099, Cosmo99]
- Negative tension branes (ANEC+)
   [Barceló-Visser, hep-th/0004022, NPB]

## Tolman wormhole:

Q: What are the *minimal* conditions for a "bounce"?

D: (Tolman wormhole  $\equiv$  "bounce".)

A: Perform a model-independent analysis of the geometry near a bounce, along the lines of the Morris—Thorne analysis for traversable wormholes.

#### Details:

gr-qc/9810023, PLB455 (1999) 90-95 [Molina-París, Visser] gr-qc/9810029, PRD59 (1999) 044011 [Hochberg, Molina-París, Visser]

Flare-out at the bounce  $\Rightarrow$  SEC violated at or near the bounce.

#### Notes:

SEC violations are a *necessary* but not *sufficient* condition for a "bounce".

You do not *need* to violate NEC, WEC, or DEC to get a "bounce".

If you believe inflation you have already abandoned the SEC anyway.

Inflation will not *guarantee* a bounce, but it opens the door.

# New improved stress tensor plus gravity:

Take a massless conformally coupled scalar field and add Einstein gravity. (Static, spherically symmetric.)

Absurdly easy problem; surprising result.

- NEC and ANEC are often violated.
- There is a three-parameter class of exact solutions (total mass, scalar charge, scalar field at infinity).

#### Special cases:

- (1) Schwarzschild/ anti-Schwarzschild.
- (2) Naked singularities.
- (3) Naked singularities hiding behind wormhole throats. (Not really "traversable".)
- (4) Traversable wormholes with two asymptotically flat regions.

Details: gr-qc/9908029, Barceló-Visser, PLB.

## Non-minimally coupled scalars plus gravity:

Take a generic non-minimally coupled scalar field and add Einstein gravity. (Static, spherically symmetric.)

Absurdly easy problem; surprising result.

- NEC and ANEC are often violated.
- There is a four-parameter class of exact solutions (total mass, scalar charge, scalar field at infinity, and curvature coupling).

#### Special cases:

- (1) Schwarzschild/ anti-Schwarzschild.
- (2) Naked singularities.
- (3) Naked singularities hiding behind wormhole throats. (Not really "traversable".)
- (4) Traversable wormholes with two asymptotically flat regions.

For  $\xi \leq 0$  no traversable wormholes.

For  $\xi > 0$  get traversable wormholes.

Details: gr-qc/0003025, Barceló-Visser, CQG.

## Negative tension branes plus gravity:

Take a negative tension brane and add Einstein gravity.

(Static, spherically symmetric, (3+1)-D.)

(Negative tension branes are now extremely common in brane cosmology, and variants of the Randall-Sundrum scenario. More than 50 papers as of July 2000.)

Absurdly easy problem, surprising result.

- NEC and ANEC are always violated.
- There is a four-parameter class of exact solutions (total mass, brane tension, bulk cosmological constant, electric charge).

Special cases:

Traversable wormholes with two asymptotically de Sitter regions.

Details: hep-th/0004022, Barceló-Visser, NPB.

## Specific Implications:

Don't focus on the specific technical details. (Naked singularities, traversable wormholes). The main points are:

- Classical violations of the NEC arise in these very reasonable classical systems.
- Conformally coupled scalars are from a QFT perspective the preferred choice corresponding to the new improved stressenergy tensor.
- String moduli are generic.
- Negative tension branes are ubiquitous.
- It's the fact that you get classical NEC violations in such simple physical systems that's worrying the fact that these NEC violations are big enough to support traversable wormholes is a bonus.

## General Implications:

- We do not currently have an acceptable positive mass theorem.
- We do not currently have an acceptable singularity theorem.
- We do not currently have an acceptable topological censorship theorem.
- Traversable wormholes almost begin to look physically reasonable.
- Tolman wormholes almost begin to look physically reasonable.
- This opens up a whole mess of weird possibilities...

#### **Conclusions:**

#### We need:

 Improved understanding of just what conditions can sensibly be put on the stressenergy tensor.

(Quantum inequalities?)

- Improved energy conditions —
  you do not want a free-for-all.
  (Arbitrary stress-energy tensor ⇒
  arbitrarily weird physics.)
- Improved (positive-mass/ singularity/ censorship) theorems of all types.

**—** # # # **—**